

# MnPASS System Study

# final report

*prepared for*

**Minnesota Department of Transportation**

*prepared by*

**Cambridge Systematics, Inc.**

*with*

URS Corporation

---

*final report*

# MnPASS System Study

*prepared for*

Minnesota Department of Transportation

*prepared by*

Cambridge Systematics, Inc.  
100 Cambridge Park Drive, Suite 400  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140

*with*

URS Corporation

*date*

April 7, 2005

---

# MnPASS System Study Participants

## STEERING COMMITTEE

*Jim Hovland*, Chair, Mayor of Edina, Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Sharon Marko*, Minnesota Senate  
*Ann Rest*, Minnesota Senate  
*Ron Erhardt*, Chair, House Transportation Policy Committee  
*Dennis Berg*, Anoka County Commissioner and Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Chuck DeVore*, White Bear Lake City Council and Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Patrick Hughes*, Metro District Engineer, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Ken Johnson*, Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Peggy Leppik*, Metropolitan Council Member  
*Ron Lifson*, Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Robert Lilligren*, Minneapolis City Council and Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Lee Munnich*, Director, State & Local Policy Program, University of Minnesota  
*Marthand Nookala*, Division Director, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Myra Peterson*, Washington County Commissioner and Transportation Advisory Board Member  
*Richard Stehr*, Division Director, Minnesota Department of Transportation

## TECHNICAL GROUP

*Mike Sobolewski*, Project Manager, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Kenneth Buckeye*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Ginny Crowson*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Paul Czech*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*John Doan*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Gary Thompson*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Tim Henkel*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*Nick Thompson*, Minnesota Department of Transportation  
*David Graeber*, Federal Highway Administration  
*Carl Ohrn*, Metropolitan Council  
*Kevin Roggenbuck*, Transportation Advisory Board

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
What is MnPASS? .....	ES-1
Study Goals and Objectives.....	ES-2
Summary of Findings .....	ES-3
Study Framework .....	ES-4
Summary of Technical Findings .....	ES-5
HOV Conversion Issues.....	ES-10
Implications of Technical Findings .....	ES-11
Potential 2030 MnPASS Vision .....	ES-12
Costs and Long-Term Revenue Potential of the 2030 Vision Map ..	ES-13
Potential Next Steps.....	ES-15
<b>1.0 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 What is MnPASS? .....	3
1.2 Structure of this Report.....	4
<b>2.0 Corridor Screening .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3.0 Technical Approach and Evaluation Criteria .....</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1 Travel Demand Forecasting .....	10
Mode Choice Model .....	10
Time-of-Day Factoring / Highway Assignment.....	11
Network Modifications.....	11
Toll Rates Tested .....	12
Forecasting Issues .....	12
3.2 Capital Cost Estimates .....	14
Capital Cost Estimation Issues.....	16
3.3 Operating Cost Estimates .....	16
3.4 Evaluation Criteria .....	17
Transportation Performance .....	17
Financial Performance.....	19
Round 1.....	19
Round 2.....	20
3.5 Financial versus transportation System Considerations.....	20

<b>4.0</b>	<b>Evaluation of Potential MnPASS Systems.....</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1	Round 1 Findings.....	22
4.2	Round 2 Findings.....	24
	Concept A Network, System and Segment Performance .....	26
	Cost Estimates .....	33
	Concept A-1 Findings .....	33
	Concept A-2 Findings .....	43
4.3	Potential to Improve Transit Service with MnPASS Lanes .....	47
4.4	Potential Impact of HOVs and Hybrids on MnPASS Lanes.....	48
	Key Issues .....	48
	Summary .....	51
4.5	Operations and Design Issues.....	51
	Toll Collection Concepts.....	52
	Pricing Strategy .....	52
	Toll Collection Points .....	52
	Equipment Needs .....	53
	Overhead Equipment .....	53
	Lane Equipment .....	54
	Tolling Gantry Configuration .....	54
	Enforcement Issues.....	56
	Surveillance and Crash Removal Issues.....	56
	System Connections .....	57
	Assumptions Regarding Buffer Zones .....	57
4.6	Summary of Technical Findings.....	59
4.7	Implications of Technical Findings .....	61
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Potential 2030 MnPASS Vision and Next Steps .....</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1	Potential MnPASS Vision .....	63
	Costs and Long-Term Revenue Potential of the 2030 Vision Map .....	65
5.2	Potential Next Steps .....	67
	Demonstrate the MnPASS Concept .....	67
	Corridor Case Study.....	68
	Address Institutional Issues.....	69

# List of Tables

Table ES.1	Network and System Performance Summary: Concept A.....	ES-6
Table ES.2	System Financial Performance Summary .....	ES-10
Table ES.3	Potential 2030 MnPASS Vision Financial Analysis, Concept A-2 Costs .....	ES-14
Table 1.	Concept A Regional Network Performance Summary, 2010.....	27
Table 2.	Concept A Regional Network Performance Summary, 2030.....	27
Table 3.	Concept A System Performance Summary, 2010.....	29
Table 4.	Concept A System Performance Summary, 2030.....	30
Table 5.	Concept A Segment Evaluation Summary, 2010 .....	31
Table 6.	Concept A Segment Evaluation Summary, 2030 .....	32
Table 7.	Segment Construction Cost Estimates using Concepts A-1 and A-2 (2004\$M) .....	34
Table 8.	Segment Financial Analysis Using Concept A-1, Sorted by Funding Gap.....	35
Table 9.	Segment Financial Analysis Using Concept A-1, Sorted by Cost Recovery Ratio .....	36
Table 10.	Corridor Combinations Using Concept A-1, Sorted by Funding Gap....	39
Table 11.	Segment Financial Analysis Using Concept A-2, Sorted by Funding Gap.....	44
Table 12.	Segment Financial Analysis Using Concept A-2, Sorted by Cost Recovery Ratio .....	44
Table 13.	Potential Effect of MnPASS on Transit Ridership, TH 36.....	48
Table 14.	Potential 2030 MnPASS Vision Financial Analysis, Concept A-2 Costs..	66

# List of Figures

Figure ES.1	Concept A Map .....	ES-5
Figure ES.2	Consultant Recommended MnPASS System Assuming No TPP Contributions (Concept A-1) .....	ES-8
Figure ES.3	Consultant Recommended MnPASS System Assuming Leveraging TPP Contributions (Concept A-2) .....	ES-9
Figure ES.4.	MnPASS 2030 Vision Map.....	ES-13
Figure 1.	System #1: Beltway plus I-35W HOT .....	7
Figure 2.	System #2: Partial Beltway plus I-35W HOT.....	7
Figure 3.	System #3: Core Radials .....	8
Figure 4.	System #4: Best of the Screened Corridors .....	8
Figure 5.	System #5: Toll Lanes on Proposed Transitways.....	9
Figure 6.	Concept A Map.....	24
Figure 7.	Consultant Recommended Segments for Concept A-1.....	38
Figure 8.	Cost Recovery Ratio versus Funding Gap: Concept A-1 Corridor Combinations.....	40
Figure 9.	Consultant Recommended Segments for Concept A-2.....	45
Figure 10.	Conceptual Gantry Design.....	55
Figure 11.	Potential 2030 MnPASS Vision.....	64